SUPREME COURT DECISION No. 1686

he the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada.

Appealed from 1st. Judicial District Court, Lyon County. C. F. Fox, Plaintiff & Respondent.

Mrs. Harriet Benard as executrix of the last will and testament of William M. Bernard, deceased, Mrs. Harriet Appellants.

8. E. Mack and Geo. D. Pyne, Attys. for Respondent. John Lothrops and A. Chartz, for Appellants.

Decision

on February 18, 1853, the plaint if haned \$400 to William Bernard, now deceased, and to secure the payment thereof he deeded to plaintiff on that day the lands described in the commaint, and at the same time plaintiff executed to him a bond for a deed shereby he agreed to re-convey the exeperty on or before February 18, 4898, provided that he was paid on or Sefere that date \$400, and also \$36 ennually. On February 8, 1896 plaintof leaned Bernard the additional sum of \$660 and accepted as security for; to plaintiff at the time the \$400 was writing acknowledged and recorded. obligations resulting from the bond made February 18, 1893, and thereupon plaintiff executed to Bernard a new bond, dated February 8, 1896, conditioned that plaintiff would make and deliver a good and sufficient conveyance of the property to Bernard, provided Plaintin was paid \$1000 on or before January 1, 1900 and also \$20 annually, and further provisioned that if Bernard paid these amounts and the taxes he would be entitled to the use and possession of the premises A receipt and the statement or admission of Bernard a short time before his death indicate that the only payments were on interest to the 3th, day of February 1897. He died the following year and letters testementary were issued to his widow Mrs. Harriet Bernard who has since warried C. J. Orth. Plaintin's demand arising out of the above transactions was presented against the estate and by her as executrix was rejected on August 29, 1898. There debt, the other upon the mortgage; is testimony indicating that she had, by losing one he does not necessarily previously recognized the demand by lose the other." Since the rendition endeavoring to borrow money for its of the decision the time for commencpayment. On July 24, 1901 the prop- ing actions on written instruments erty was set over to her by decree has been extended from four to six of distribution. From a judgment de- years and under well recognized creeing the deed to plaint ff to be a mortgage and ordering a forecloseure and sale of the premises to

of securing a debt will be construed begins to run when the debt is due as a mortgage is not assailed, but for and an action can be instituted upon appellant it is contended that as suit it." Under the argument for appellwas not brought until April, 1904, and the four years from the final loan more than six years after the last on February 8, 1896 to the time for loan and the giving of the last bond payment of the \$1000 under the bond on February 8, 1896, and more than on January 1, 1900, would be defour years after the time, January 1, ducted from the six years allowed 1900 fixed for a conveyance there- for bringing suit, and on that theory under conditioned on payment, the if the mtaurity of the loan had been action is barred by the statute of more than six years, instead of four it is said that plaintiff's cause of action would have by executing a written release of the been barred before it accrued... first bond and accepting a new one instead, at the time he borrowed is affirmed. the last amount, \$600, Bernard did not sign any writing agreeing to pay or acknowledging a debt, and that therefore the obligation to pay on his part was merely verbal and would be barred in four years. We do not so view that transaction. Most instrument in daily use, such as deeds mortgages, notes, orders, drafts and checks are signed by only one of the parties, but are not for that reason verbal nor half verbal. Although Bernard executed no note or writing agreeing to pay any money, he signed sult and the decree no more is sought than he under his signature obligated himself to yield. In equity the exten : of the time for a reconveyand by plaintiff, given by the surrende of the first bond and the execution of a new one ought to be considered as effective as if plaintiff had conveyed the property to Ber-

satisfy the amount, \$1731.25 and

\$76.40 costs, found due to plaintiff,

stre appeals.

which would have left the title in plaintiff as it now stands. It was not necessary to have these extra deeds and if they had been executed they would not have varied the time for bringing suit and the initiation of the running of the statute which was controlled by the last bond and the date therein fixed and extended for payment and reconveyance.

Plaintiff is fort-fied with a writing for all that is awarded him by the Orth and J. C. Orth, Defendants and judgment and for more if the property is worth more.

> The loan and giving of the security which vary the unconditional terms of the deed, and which are shown verbally, are facts favorable to appellant which it would have been incumbent upon her to prove if plaintiff had sued in ejectment for the property and introduced the deed. The bringing of the action four years and four months after January 1, 1900, the time fixed in the last bond for a reconveyance conditioned on payment, was not too late.

It is also urged that suit was not begun within the time required by the provisions of the Probate Act after the rejection of the claim by the executrix. Whether this is so is immarterial for although she as execurtrix is named as a party defendant, the allegations of the complaint and \$1600, and interest h deed made the decree may be considered as running against the property only. borrowed, and by release made in No judgment for any deficiency after sale or otherwise against the estate Bernard then relieved him from all is demanded or given by the decree, which is directed only against the premises and plaintiff's rights to this extent would not be curtailed nor affected by failure to present a claim to the executr'x, nor by her rejection of the claim filed, nor by his ommission to sue within the time prescribed for commencing actions on rejected claims against estates of deceased persons, as is necessary when it is desired to reach the assets of

In Cookes V. Culberston, 9 Nev. 207, as here, a deed was given as security for a loan which was not evidenced in writing. It was said in the opinion The remedy upon the debt is barred by the statute, but the debt was not thereby extinguished; and as the statute of limitations of this State applies to suits in equity as well as actions at law, the creditors could have enforced payment by foreclosure of the mortgage within four years after the cause of action accrued He had two remedies, one upon the principles plaintiff was allowed that length of time after the date fixed for payment of the \$1000 and for the termination of the bond or a re-conveyance, which was January 1, 1900. As said in Borden V. Clow, 21 Nev. The well settled doctrine that a 278, "It is a rule in regard to the deed executed merely for the purpose statute of limitations that the statute

The judgment of the District Court

TALBOT, J.

Fitzgerald, C. J.

Norcross, J. .

Carson Cemetary Water Wards

Notice is hereby given that water has been turned on at the Cemetary and that no person in arrears will be allowed the use of water until the amounts now due are paid.

Patrons are further notified that it is the intention of the Trustees to give a six months service this season, a deed absolute in terms conveying instead of five months as heretofore, the property to plaintiff, and by this to do this prompt payment by water users will be neccessary.

> GEO. W. KEITH April 24, 1906 Secretary and Collector.

-Lost

A pair of eye glasses with gold chain attached, in case. The finder will be rewarded by leaving the same nard and taken new deed from him, at this office.

The Continental Will Pay Bill

New 10rk, April 27, 1906. Hon Samuel P. Davis, Dear Sir:-

E. Kline, is in San Francisco, where he is looking after our interests and organizing an adjusting bureau.

Based on information received, we have to advise you as follows:

The gross amount we have at risk in the destroyed (earthquke and fire) district is\$2,669,000

From which deuct for liability reinsured 743,000

Leaving net liability\$1,926,000 While this is a large sum, you will see from papers enclosed that it could be paid by the Continental without regarding the Net Surplus of over eight million dollars shown in our January, 1906 Statement.

If further information is desired, please advise, and oblige.

Yours very truly,

Henry Evans, President.

-0-0-Dissolution of Partnership

The copartnership heretofore exiting under the style and name of Paersen and Springmeyer, in the City of Carson, County of Ormsby, bas been dissole dby mutual consent, Mr Petersen haing purchased the entire interest of C. H. Springmeyer. Mr. Petersen will pay all outstanding claims against said firm and will col lect all claims due the firm.

Notice

A rumor having gone about that I had advanced the price of drugs since the recent earthquake and fire in San Francisco, I wish to state here that the report is without foundation and absolutely false in every particular.

F. J. S'einmetz.

People You Like to Meet.

Are found on the through trains of the Sante Fe Route. First-class travel is attracted to first class roads. The Sante Fe Route is a first-class road.

way systems in the world. Present and making other insolent statements. mileage, 7,734 miles.

It extends from Lake Michigan to the Pacific Ocean and Gulf of Mexico. reaching with its own rails Chicago, he can stand aside." This language Kansas City, Denver, Fort Worth | was deemed offensive and the court an attempt to shield its receiver and Galveston, El Paso, Los Angeles and from examining the next witness. San Francisco.

It runs the finest and fastest transcontinental train, the California Limit

Its meal service, managed by Mr. Fred Harvey, is the best in the world Its track is rock ballasted and laid throughout with heavy steel rails.

records are frequently shattered, the latest being that of the "Scotty Special" Los Angeles to Chicago, 2,265 miles in less than 45 hours.

Every comfort and luxury desired by modern travelers.

May we sell you a ticket over the

Santa Fe. G. F. WARREN, A. T. & S. F. RY.

Salt Lake City, Utah. Or-F. W. PRINCE, San Francisco.

been bribed, resisting removal from the court room by the marshai acting under an order from the bench and using aousive language, one of the defendants was sent to jail for thirty days and the other for six months. Judge -erry, who had not made any accusation against the court sought release and to be purged of the contempt by a sworn petition in which he alleged that in the transaction he did not have the slightest idea of showing any disrespect to the court. It was held that this could not avail or relieve him and it was

said "The law imputes an intent to accomplish the natural result of one's acts, and, when those acts are of a criminal nature, it will not accent against such implication the denial of the transgressor. No one would be safe if a denial or a wrongful or criminal intent would suffice to realese the violator from the punishment due in

his offenses. In an application for a writ of habeas corpus growing out of that case. Justice Harlan, speaking for the Sureme court of the United States said:

"We have seen that it is a settled doctrine in the jurisprudence both of England and of this country, never suposed to be in conflict with the liberty of the citizens, that for direct contempt committed in the face of the court, at least one of superior jurisdiction, the offender may in its discretion, be instantly apprehended and immediately imprisoned, without trial or issue, and without other proof than its actual knowledge of what occurred; and that according to an unbroken chain of authorides reaching back to the earliest times, such power : lineugh arbitrary : its nature and liable to abuse, is absolutely essential to the protection of the courts in the discharge of their func-Without it udciial tribunals would be at the mercy of the disorderly and violent, who respect neither tf | filed in effect accusing the court of

the laws enacted for the vindication these tribunals of histing or the sup- SPECIAL EXCURSION FROM SAM of public and private rights, nor the officers charged w... the duty of ad- tability and independence; it has ex-

ministering them." 128 U. S. 313. In re Wooley 11 ky. 95, it was held that to incorporate into a position for and, except in a lew cases of party vio-Our Vice-President, Mr. George rehearing the statement that "Your honors have rendered an unjust decree," and other insulting matter, is Lord Mayor of London's case, 3 Wilto commit in open court an act con- sout, 188; opinion o. Kent, C. J., in stituting a contempt on the part of the actorney; and hat where the language spoken or written is of itself necessarily offensive, the disavowal of 2d edition it is said: an intention to commit a contempt may tend to excuse but cannot justify the act. From a paragraph in that the presence of the court, notice is opinion we quote:

> course of conduct, render it impossi- a contempt may tend to excuse, but self-respect and the respect of the notorious and public insult to a court systematically attempts to bring the to practice revoked." tribunals of justice into public conrefuses in any way to atone, may jusrecognize him in the future as one of on the integrity of the court.

spondent was fined for ironically stat. contempt which no construction of ing to a justice of the peace, "I think the words can excuse or purge. His this magistrate wiser than the Su- disclaimor of an intentional disrespreme court." Redfield, C. J., said: Pect to the court may palliate but

and with the same formal respect.

here or there..' "We do not see that the relator has any alternative left him but the submission to what he no doubt regards as a misapprehension of the law, both on the part of the justice and of this court. And in that respect he is in a guage in many instances not so rencondition very similar to many who have failed to convince others of the vowal in open court we have concludsoundness of their own views, or to

falacy. In Mahoney v. State, 72 N. E. 151. an attorney was fined \$50 for saying against the misconduct of attorneys want to see whether the court is right or not I want to know whether I am going to be heard in this case in It is one of the three largest rail- the interests of my client or not In Redman v. State 28 Ind., the judge informed counsel that a question was improper and the attorney replied: "If we cannot examine our witnesses prohibited that particular attorney

> In Brown v. Brown IV Ind. 727. for divorce which was unnecessarily gross and indelicate

In McCormick v. Sheridan, 20 P. 24. 78. Cal., "A petition for rehearing 211 P. 519. stated that 'how or why the honorable we do not know. It seems that nei- out of his petition. ther the transcript nor our briefs

could have fallen under the commis- said that he had no intention to be sioners observation. A more disin- disrespectful or contemptuous, but he genious and misleading statement of also earnestly contended that the lanthe evidence could not well be made. guage charged against him and which It is substantialy untrue and unwar- ing was based, was, in my opinion. be a traversity of the evidence." Held he admitted naving used was not disthat counsel drafting the petition was oath to faithfully discharge the duguilty of contempt committee in the ties of an atterney and counceler. face of the court, notwithstanding a Surely such a course as was taken in Co. school fund Dist. 2 189 14

ternative of serving in jail. The Chief Justice speaking for the said: court in State v. Morrill, 16 Ark. 310

said: commuity to denounce, degrade, and festly disrespectful to the judge of the of the courts, no man of self-respect ticipated-we shall deem it our duty Agl, Assn. fund Spcl. 1829 54 and just pride of reputation would re- to treat such conduct as a contempt of main upon the pench, and such only this court, and to proceed accordingwould become the ministers of the ly; and the briefs of the case were Co. school fund Dist, I library law as were insensible to defamation ordered to be stricken from the files." and contempt. But happily for the good order of society, men, an especially the people of this country, are Sairts, language used in the petition generally disposed to respect and warned, and that he pay the costs of abide the decisions of the tribunals ordained by government as the common arbiters of their rights. But where isolated individuals, in violation of the better instincts of human nature, and disregardful of law and order, wontanly attempt to obstruct the course of public justice by disregarding and exciting disrespect for the decisions of its tribuna s, every good citizen will point them out as proper subjects for legal animadver-

A court must naturally look first to an enlightened and conservative bar. governed by a high sense of professional ethics and deeply sensible, as they always are, of its necessity to aid in the maintenance of public respect for its opinions."

In Somers v. Torrey, 5 Paige Ch. 64 28 Am. D. 411, it was held that the attorneyw ho put his hand to scandalous Premiums and impertinent matter stood against the complainant and one not a party to the suit is lianle to the censure of the court and chargeable with the cost of the proceedings to have it ex-

punged from the record. In State v. Grailbe, 1 La. Am. 183. the court held that it could not consistently tith its duty receive a brief expressed in disrespectful language. and ordered he clerk to take it from the files.

punish for contempt, Blackford, J., in of February. State v. Tipion, 1 Blackf. 176, said: "This great power is entrusted a

port and prese: vation of their respecisted from the each. turiol to which the annals of juri-prudence entent;

ience, it has been sanctioned and estrackshed by the experience of ages." the case of Yates, 4 Johns, 317; Johnson v. The Commonwealth 1 Bibb 598. At page 206 of Weeks on Attorneys,

"Language may be contemptuous. not essential before punishment, and "An attorney may unfit himself for scandalous and insulting matter in a the practice of his profession by the petition for rehearing is equivalent manner in which he conducts himself to the commission in open court of an in his intersourse with the courts. He act constituting a contempt. When may be honest and capable, and yet the language is capable of explanahe may so conduct himself as to contin- tion, and is explained, the proceedings ually interrupt the business of the must be discontinued; but where it courts in which he practices; or he is offensive and insulting per se, the may by a systematic and continuous disavowal of an intention to commit ico, \$12.00. ble for the courts to preserve their cannot justify the act. From an open, public and at the same time permit for which an attorney contumaciously him to act as an officer and attorney. refused in any way to atone, he was An attorney who thus studiously and fined for contempt, and his authority

Other authorities in line with these tempt is an unfit person to hold the we have mentioned are cited in the position and exercise the privileges of note to re Cary, 10 Fed. 632, and in an officer of those tribunals. An open 9 Cyc. P. 20, where it is said that or Columbia), to take effect immenotorious and public insuit to the contempt may be committed by inhighest judicial tribunal of the State serting in pleadings, briefs, motions, for which an attorney contumaciously arguments, petitions for rehearing or other papers filed in court insulting tify the refusal of that tribunal to or contemptuous language, reflecting

By using the objectionable language In re Cooper, 32 Vt. 262, the re- stated respondent became guilty of a "The counsel must submit in a jus- cannot justify a charge which under tice court as well as in this court, any explanation cannot be construed however difficult, it may be either teligence and motives of the court, and which could scarcely have been made for any other purpose unless to decision.

As we have seen, attorneys have been severely punished for using lan- OFFICE COUNTY AUDITOR rehensible, but in view of the disaed not to impose a penalty so harsh became convinced themselves o ftheir as disbarment or suspension from practice, or fine or imprisonment

> Nor do we forget that an prescribing litigants ought pot to be punished or prevented from maintaining in the case all petitions, pleadings, and papers essential to the preservation and erforcement of their rights.

It is ordered that the offensive petilion be stricken from the files, that respondent stand reprimanded and Liquor license282 00 warned, and that he pay the costs of this proceeding.

his attorneys from an investigation Rent of Co. biuliding302 50 fice and containing the statement that lawyer was taxed with the cost of the "We must decline to assume the action for filing and reading a petition functions of a grand jury, or attempt to perform the duty of the court in investigating the conduct of its officers. "was held to be contemptuous.

In re Terry, 36 Fed. 419 an extreme and substantially ignored and disre- Court deemed the language contempt-On such a road as this lang distance garded the uncontradicted testimony, uous, the said language be stricken

Respondent not only contended and disavowal of disrespectful intention, this case is not in compliance w... A fine of \$200 was imposed with an al- that duty. In Friedlander v. Sumner G. & S. M. Co., 61 cal. 117. The court

"If unfortunately counsel in any case shall ever so far forget himself "If it was the general habit of the as willfully to employ language mani-In U. S. v. Late Corporation of Church of Jesus Chaist of Later Day

-0.0-ANNUAL STATEMENT

Of The Continental Casualty Company Of Hammond Indiana. General office, Chicago, Iills Capital (paid up)\$ 200,000 00 Assets 1,708,611 28 Liabilities, exclusive of capital and net surplus .. 1,157,641 70 Income Premiums 2,129,749 63 Other sources Total income, 1905 2,160,226 36 Expenditures Losses

Total expenditures, 1905 2,123,536 45 Business 1905 Risks written none 2,633,875 23 Losses incurred 1,009,644 \$1

16.500 00

1.113.131 64

Dividends

Other expenditures ...

Nevada Business Risks written Premiums received Losses paid 8.544 59 Losses incurred 8.634 55 A. A. SMITH, Secretary. -

The Sierra Nevada mining company received \$2,722.67 from leasers oper-Referring to the right- of courts to ating on Cedar Hill during the month

FRANCISCO TO CITY OF MEXICO AND RETURN. DECEMBER 16th

A select party is being organized Ly the Southern Pacific to leave San Francisco for Mexico City, December 16th, 1905. Train will contain fine vestibule sleepers and dining car, all the way on going trip. Time limit will be sixty days, enabling excursionists to make side trips from City of whether written or spoken; and if in Mexico to points of interest. On return trip, stopovers will be allowed at points on the main lines of Mexican Central, Santa Fe or Southern Pacific. An excursion manager will be in charge and make all arrangements.

Round trip rate from San Francisco

Pullman berth rate to City of Mee-

For further information address toformation Bureau, 613 Market street, San Francisco Cal.

-Liberal Offer.

I beg to advise my patrons that the price of disc records (either Victor diately, will be as follows until further notice:

Ten inch disks formerly 70 ceats will be sold for 60 cents.

Seven inch records formerly 50c. now 35c. Take advantage of this of-C. W. FRIEND.

Notice to Hur.tetrs.

Notice is hereby given that any person found hunting without a permit otherwise than as reflecting on the in- on the premises owned by Theodo's Winters, will be prosecuted. A linited number of permits vill be sold intimidate or improperly influence our at \$5 for the season or 50 cents for

To the Honorable, the Board of County

ty Commissioners, Gentlemen: In compliance with the law. & herewith submit my quarterly report showing receipts and disburse ments of Ormsby County, during the quarter ending Dec. 30, 1905.

Quarterly Report.

Ormsby County, Nevada. Balance in County Treasury at end of last quarter 39108 77% Fees of Co. officers527 05 Fines in Justice Court125 00 Slot machine license282 0 S. A. apportionment school money5424 48 Cigarette license42 30 Douglas Co., road work 18 00 Keep C. B. Hall15 00 40213 59%

Recapitulation April 1st., 66. Balance cash on hand\$31277 17% Co. school fund Dist. 1 10158 481/4 Co. shool fund Dist. 3277 61% Co. school fund Dist. 4 212 77 State school fund Dist. 1 ... 3859 85

Agl. Assn fund A. 686 1236 Co. school fund Dist.1 Spcl .7390 20

State school fund Dist. 2 ...216 18

State school fund Dist. 3433 76

......108 40 Co school fund Dist. 3 library Co. school fund Dist. 4 library

Total

\$31177 17% T. B. VA NETTEN county Treasurer.

Disbursements General fund4203 67 Co, school fund Dist. I328 65 Co. school fund Dist. 2173 10 Co school fund Dist. 3 19 85 Co. school fund Dist. 4 122 00 State school fund Dist 1 2611 65 State school fund Dist 2 70 00 State school fund Dist 3 120 00 State school fund Dist 4110 00

Co. school fund Spcl building6377 50 Total 16936 42

Recapitulation 20,025 56 Cash in Treasury January 1, 1906 Receipts from January 1st to

March 31st 1906 9104 81% Disbursements from Januar, 1st to Larch 31st 1906...... 16936 42 Balance cash in Cc. Treasury

April 1st 19063127/ 17% H. DIETERICH

County Auditor